

PC1. ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE. MAP 569. MACHINE LEARNING II.

**EXERCISE 1 (HOEFFDING'S INEQUALITY)** Let  $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be  $n$  independent random variables such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i) = 1$  where  $a_i, b_i$  are real numbers such that  $a_i < b_i$ . The aim of this exercise is to prove the following inequality. For all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i]\right| > t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right).$$

1. Assume that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Prove that it is enough to prove that for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right). \quad (1)$$

2. Prove that for all  $s, t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq e^{-st} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[e^{sX_i}].$$

3. Define for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\phi_i : s \mapsto \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{sX_i}])$ . Prove that for all  $s > 0$ ,

$$\phi_i''(s) \leq \left(\frac{b_i - a_i}{2}\right)^2.$$

4. Prove that this upper bound implies for all  $s, t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > t\right) \leq e^{-st} e^{s^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(b_i - a_i)^2}{8}}$$

and conclude.

**EXERCISE 2 (EXCESS OF RISK FOR A FINITE CLASS OF CLASSIFIERS)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. Assume that  $(X, Y)$  is a couple of random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and taking values in  $\mathcal{X} \times \{-1, 1\}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a given state space. One aim of supervised classification is to define a function  $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , called *classifier*, such that  $h(X)$  is the best prediction of  $Y$  in a given context. For instance, the probability of misclassification of  $h$  is

$$L_{\text{miss}}(h) = \mathbb{P}(Y \neq h(X)).$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X]$  is a random variable measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(X)$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $\eta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  so that  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \eta(X)$  almost surely.

1. Prove that the classifier  $h_*$ , defined for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , by

$$h_*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) > 0, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is such that

$$h_* \in \operatorname{argmin}_{h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}} L_{\text{miss}}(h).$$

2. In practice, the minimization of  $L_{\text{miss}}$  holds on a specific set  $\mathcal{H}$  of classifiers (often called the *dictionary*), which may possibly not contain the Bayes classifier. Moreover, since in most cases, the classification risk  $L_{\text{miss}}$  cannot be computed nor minimized, it is instead estimated by the empirical classification risk defined as

$$\widehat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{Y_i \neq h(X_i)},$$

where  $(X_i, Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  are independent observations with the same distribution as  $(X, Y)$ . The classification problem then boils down to solving

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n \in \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h).$$

Prove that for all set  $\mathcal{H}$  of classifiers and all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$L_{\text{miss}}(\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\text{miss}}(h) \leq 2 \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left| \hat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h) - L_{\text{miss}}(h) \right|.$$

3. Using Hoeffding's inequality, prove that when  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_M\}$  for a given  $M \geq 1$ , then, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( L_{\text{miss}}(\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n) \leq \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} L_{\text{miss}}(h_j) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} \log \left( \frac{2M}{\delta} \right)} \right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

**EXERCISE 3 (CROSS-VALIDATION)** Consider the training data set:  $\mathcal{D}_n = ((\mathbf{X}_1, Y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{X}_n, Y_n))$  where  $\mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that we construct the regressor function  $\hat{f}$  by linear regression: we first define

$$\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mathbf{X}_j^T \beta)^2$$

and we set  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{Y}_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}$  where  $\mathbf{X}$  is the  $n \times p$  matrix,  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_n^T \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{f}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{X}_i^T \hat{\beta}$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

1. Assume  $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$ . Prove that  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$  where  $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}$ .

2. For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we leave the  $i$ -th data out of the training set, that is, we define

$$\hat{\beta}_{-i} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (Y_j - \mathbf{X}_j^T \beta)^2$$

and we set  $\hat{Y}_{-i} = \hat{f}_{-i}(\mathbf{X}_i) = \mathbf{X}_i^T \hat{\beta}_{-i}$ . Define the vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{Y}_n \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\tilde{Y}_k = Y_k$  for  $k \neq i$  and

$\tilde{Y}_i = \hat{Y}_{-i}$ . Show that  $\hat{\beta}_{-i}$  is obtained by linear regression of the vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{X}$ . Deduce the expression of  $\hat{\beta}_{-i}$  in terms of  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $\mathbf{X}$ .

3. Defining the hat matrix  $H = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T = [H_{k\ell}]_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq n}$ , deduce that

$$\hat{Y}_{-i} = \hat{Y}_i - H_{ii} Y_i + H_{ii} \hat{Y}_{-i}.$$

4. Show that the Leave-One-Out cross-validation error is:

$$\mathcal{R}_n^{LOO}(\hat{f}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_{-i})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{Y_i - \hat{Y}_i}{1 - H_{ii}} \right)^2.$$