

## 17 Conditional expectation/distribution

**Exercise 17.1.** Let  $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(\{B_i : i \in I\})$ , for  $(B_i)_{i \in I}$  pairwise disjoint events and  $I$  a countable set. Show that  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}] = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{1}_{B_i} \mathbb{E}[X | B_i] . \quad (169)$$

Hint: First show that  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{A} \subset \Omega : \text{there exists } J \subset I \text{ with } \mathbf{A} = \sqcup_{j \in J} B_j\}$ .

**Exercise 17.2.** Let  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables valued in  $\mathbb{N}$  and integrable. Let  $T_n = X_N$  where  $N_n \sim U(\{1, \dots, n\})$  independent of  $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

(1) Show that for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(T_n = i | X_{1:n}) = \frac{i \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_k = i\}}{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k} , \quad (170)$$

where  $X_{1:n} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

(2) Show that for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(T_n = i) = ip_i/m$  denoting  $p_i = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = i)$  and  $m = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ .

**Exercise 17.3.** Let  $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a sub- $\sigma$  field on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $X$  a non-negative random variable. Denote  $\mathbf{A} = \{\omega : \mathbb{E}[X | \mathbf{G}](\omega) > 0\}$ .

(1) Show that  $X \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}^c} = 0$  almost surely and deduce that  $\{X > 0\} \subset \mathbf{A}$ . Hint: do not forget that  $X$  is supposed to be non-negative.

(2) Show that  $\mathbf{A}$  is the smallest set in  $\mathbf{G}$  (for the inclusion and up to negligible set) containing  $\{X > 0\}$ .

**Exercise 17.4.** Let  $X$  be an integrable real random variable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$  two sub  $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G} = \sigma(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{G})$ . Show that if  $\sigma(X) \vee \mathcal{H} = \sigma(\sigma(X) \cup \mathcal{H})$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{H}]$ . [Hint : first take an element of  $\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}$  of the form  $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ . Use the  $\pi - \lambda$ -theorem to conclude.]

**Exercise 17.5.** Let  $X = F(Y, Z)$  where  $Y$  and  $Z$  are two random vectors on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  valued in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , respectively, and  $F$  is a Borel function. Let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be a sub  $\sigma$ -field. Suppose moreover that  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable and  $Z$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by

$$\mathbb{P}^{X|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, \mathbf{A}) = \mathbb{P}(F(Y(\omega), Z) \in \mathbf{A}) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{Y} .$$

[Hint : first show in the case where  $F = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{A}} \times \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{B}}$  that  $\mathbb{E}[X | \mathcal{G}] = \hat{F}(Y)$  where, for all  $y$ ,  $\hat{F}(y) = \mathbb{E}[F(y, Z)]$ . Deduce the conditional distribution of  $(Y, Z)$  given  $\mathcal{G}$  and conclude.]

**Exercise 17.6.** An important application of the projection theorem in Hilbert spaces is the computation of the conditional mean for  $L^2$  random variables. It also provides an easy way to compute the conditional distribution in a Gaussian context, where the following result holds.

**Proposition 17.1.** The Hilbert space of all  $\mathbb{R}^p$ -valued  $L^2$  random variables is endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle U, V \rangle = \mathbb{E}[U^\top V]$$

In this context,  $\text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y})$  is seen as the linear space in  $L^2$  obtained by a linear transformation of the random variables 1 and  $\mathbf{Y}$ , that is, we have

$$\text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y}) = \{a + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y} : a \in \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}\} \quad (171)$$

$$= \{b + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) : b \in \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}\} , \quad (172)$$

where we set  $b = a - \mathbf{A}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]$ . Let  $p, q \geq 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be two jointly Gaussian vectors, respectively valued in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) If  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})$  is invertible, then  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}} := \text{proj}(\mathbf{X} | \text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y}))$  is given by

$$\widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] + \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]),$$

and

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}),$$

where here  $\text{Span}(\dots)$  is understood as the space of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ -valued  $L^2$  random variables obtained by linear transformations of  $\dots$  and  $\text{proj}(\cdot | \dots)$  is understood as the projection onto this space seen as a (closed) subspace of the Hilbert space of all  $\mathbb{R}^p$ -valued  $L^2$  random variables.

- (ii) We have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Y}] = \text{proj}(\mathbf{X} | \text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y})).$$

- (iii) Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Y}]$ . Then

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X} - \widehat{\mathbf{X}})^T] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \widehat{\mathbf{X}})\mathbf{X}^T]$$

and the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X}$  given  $\mathbf{Y}$  is given by  $N(\widehat{\mathbf{X}}, \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \widehat{\mathbf{X}}))$ .

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  be as in Proposition 17.1.

- (1) Use the characterization of the orthogonal projection to prove Proposition 17.1(i).
- (2) In order to prove Proposition 17.1(ii) and (iii), use properties of the conditional distribution and expectation.

## 17.1 Conditional distribution

**Exercise 17.7.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent real random variables with distribution  $\mathbf{Pn}(\lambda)$  et  $\mathbf{Pn}(\mu)$  respectively. Denote  $S = X + Y$ .

- (i) Give the distribution of  $S$ .
- (ii) For any  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  give the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $S$ .
- (iii) Give  $\mathbb{E}[X|S]$ .
- (iv) Check that  $\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|S]) \leq \text{Var}(X)$ .

**Exercise 17.8.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent real random variables with distribution  $\mathbf{Unif}([0, 1])$ . Denote  $D = X - Y$ .

- (i) Find the distribution of  $D$ .
- (ii) For any  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  find the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $D = d$ .
- (iii) Compute  $\mathbb{E}[X|D]$ .
- (iv) Check that  $\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|D]) \leq \text{Var}(X)$ .

**Exercise 17.9.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two independent real random variables with distribution  $\mathbf{Exp}(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Denote  $S = X + Y$ .

- (i) Find the distribution of  $S$ .
- (ii) For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  find the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $S = s$ .
- (iii) Compute  $\mathbb{E}[X|S]$ .
- (iv) Check that  $\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|S]) \leq \text{Var}(X)$ .

**Exercise 17.10.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We assume that  $X$  is valued in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $Y$  follows a exponential distribution with parameter 1 on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In addition, we assume that the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $Y = y$  is the Poisson distribution with parameter  $y$ . Give the distribution of  $(X, Y)$  and the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $X = x$ .

## 17.2 Solutions

### Solution to Exercise 17.4

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field,  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and assume that  $\sigma(X) \vee \mathcal{H}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ . We want to show that  $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$ . By ??-??, we just need to prove that for all  $A \in \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] . \quad (173)$$

We first consider  $A$  of the form  $B \cap C$ , with  $B \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{G}$ . Indeed, using the assumption, for such measurable set, we get since  $\mathbb{1}_B X$  is  $\sigma(X) \vee \mathcal{H}$ -measurable,  $\mathbb{1}_B \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ -measurable,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_C \mathbb{1}_B X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_C] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_B X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_C] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_B \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] . \quad (174)$$

Now consider  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]]\} , \quad \mathcal{C} = \{B \cap C : B \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{G}\} . \quad (175)$$

By (174) we get that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is stable by finite intersection, contains  $\Omega$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore it is a  $\pi$ -system. Then we just need to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system since by the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem, it will imply that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ . Using that  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]]$ , we get that  $A^c \in \mathcal{E}$ . Consider now a sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{E}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $n < p$ ,  $A_n \cap A_p = \emptyset$ . Then for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=0}^N A_k} X] = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_k} X] = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{A_k} \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\cup_{k=0}^N A_k} \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] . \quad (176)$$

Setting  $A = \cup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$  and using the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A X] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{H}]] . \quad (177)$$

Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. [Back to Exercise 17.4](#)

### Solution to Exercise 17.5

We first show the result when  $F$  is the identity. Namely, for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$  and all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we prove

$$\mathbb{P}^{(Y,Z)|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, A) = \mathbb{P}((Y(\omega), Z) \in A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A(Y(\omega), Z) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) . \quad (178)$$

Consider first  $A$  of the form  $A = B \times C$ , with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^p)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^q)$ . Then for all  $D \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have since  $\mathbb{1}_D Y$  is  $\mathcal{G}$  measurable and  $Z$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_D \mathbb{1}_A(Y, Z)] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_D \mathbb{1}_B(Y) \mathbb{1}_C(Z)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_D \mathbb{1}_B(Y)] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_C(Z)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_D \mathbb{1}_B(Y)] \mathbb{P}(Z \in C) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_D \mathbb{1}_B(Y) \mathbb{P}(Z \in C)] . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have almost surely

$$\mathbb{P}^{(Y,Z)|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, A) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A(Y, Z)|\mathcal{G}](\omega) = \mathbb{1}_B(Y(\omega)) \mathbb{P}(Z \in C) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) . \quad (179)$$

Consider now the two set  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  contained in  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{p+q})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \left\{ A \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}^{(Y,Z)|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) , \omega\text{-almost surely} \right\} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \{B \cap C : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^p) \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^q)\} . \end{aligned}$$

By (179), we get that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is stable by finite intersection, contains  $\Omega$  and  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore it is a  $\pi$ -system. Then we just need to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system since by the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem, it will imply that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{H} \vee \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , it is clear by definition that  $A^c \in \mathcal{E}$ . Consider now a sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for all  $n < p$ ,  $A_n \cap A_p = \emptyset$ . Then by definition for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have almost surely

$$\mathbb{P}^{(Y,Z)|\mathcal{G}} \left( \omega, \bigcup_{k=0}^N A_k \right) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{k=0}^N A_k}(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) . \quad (180)$$

Therefore almost surely for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  (note the difference here), we get that (180) holds. Setting  $A = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$  and using the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\mathbb{P}^{(Y,Z)|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, A) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) . \quad (181)$$

Then  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. So we have shown (178).

Let now  $F : \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a Borel function and  $X = F(Y, Z)$ . Then for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_B \mathbb{1}_A(X)] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_B \mathbb{1}_{F^{-1}(A)}(Y, Z)] = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_B(\omega) \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_{F^{-1}(A)}(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_B(\omega) \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{1}_A(F(Y(\omega), Z(\tilde{\omega}))) \mathbb{P}(d\tilde{\omega}) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the expected result for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  almost surely:

$$\mathbb{P}^{X|\mathcal{G}}(\omega, A) = \mathbb{P}(F(Y(\omega), Z) \in A) .$$

### Back to Exercise 17.5

#### Solution to Exercise 17.6

1. Note that  $\text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y})$  is a finite dimensional linear subspace of  $H$ , hence is closed. So by the characterization of the orthogonal projection,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} := \text{proj}(\mathbf{X} | \text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y}))$  is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{b} + \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) ,$$

with  $\hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$  such that

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X} - (\hat{b} + \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]), b + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} ,$$

which is equivalent to the two conditions

$$\left\langle \mathbf{X} - \hat{b}, b \right\rangle = 0, \left\langle \mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]), \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{R}^p, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q} .$$

This clearly yields  $\hat{b} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}]$  for the first condition and since

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]))^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) \right] = \text{Trace} \left( \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E} \left[ (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]))^T \right] \right) ,$$

the second condition gives

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]))^T \right] = 0 .$$

which yields  $\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1}$ . Hence, as a result,

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}] + \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Y}]) .$$

Now observe that

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}) \quad (182)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) \quad (183)$$

$$= \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) . \quad (184)$$

Hence we have (i) of Proposition 17.1.

2. Let us write

$$\mathbf{X} = \hat{\mathbf{X}} + (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}) ,$$

and observe that since  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  is Gaussian, so is  $(\mathbf{Y}, (\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}))$ , which is obtained by a linear transform of it. Moreover since  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}) = 0$  by definition of  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ , they are independent. In the above decomposition,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  is  $\sigma(\mathbf{Y})$ -measurable and  $(\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}})$  is independent of  $\sigma(\mathbf{Y})$ . This immediately gives

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{Y}] = \hat{\mathbf{X}} = \text{proj}(\mathbf{X} | \text{Span}(1, \mathbf{Y})) ,$$

that is, (ii) of Proposition 17.1. Moreover, for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  and all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}(\omega), A) = \int \mathbb{1}_A(\hat{\mathbf{X}}(\omega) + \mathbf{X}(\omega') - \hat{\mathbf{X}}(\omega')) \mathbb{P}(d\omega') .$$

But since  $\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}$  is a linear transform of  $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ , it is a Gaussian vector, moreover it has mean 0, hence, for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the random vector  $\omega' \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{X}}(\omega) + \mathbf{X}(\omega') - \hat{\mathbf{X}}(\omega')$  is  $N(\hat{\mathbf{X}}, \text{Cov}(\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}))$ . Hence we obtain (iii) of Proposition 17.1.

## Back to Exercise 17.6

### Solution to Exercise 17.7

(i) For  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\{S = s\} = \bigcup_{x=0}^s \{X = x, Y = s - x\}$  with  $X$  and  $Y$  independent,

$$\mathbb{P}(S = s) = \sum_{x=0}^s \mathbb{P}(X = x) \mathbb{P}(Y = s - x) = \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)}}{s!} \sum_{x=0}^s \binom{s}{x} \lambda^x \mu^{s-x} = \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)}}{s!} (\lambda + \mu)^s . \quad (185)$$

Hence  $S \sim \mathbf{Pn}(\lambda + \mu)$ .

(ii) For  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x | S = s) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = x, Y = s - x)}{\mathbb{P}(S = s)} = \binom{s}{x} \frac{\lambda^x \mu^{s-x}}{(\lambda + \mu)^s} \quad (186)$$

so that the conditional law of  $X$  given  $S$  is the binomial law with parameters  $(s, \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu})$ .

(iii) The expectation of a binomial random variable being equal to the product of its parameters one deduces that  $\mathbb{E}[X | S] = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} S$ .

(iv) The variance of a Poisson random variable being equal to its parameter,

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|S]) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu}\right)^2 \text{Var}(S) = \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda + \mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} \text{Var}(X) \leq \text{Var}(X).$$

### Back to Exercise 17.7

#### Solution to Exercise 17.8

(i) Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent the density of  $(X, Y)$  is the product of their respective marginal densities. Hence, for  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (measurable) bounded, using the change of variable  $z = x - y$  in the integral over  $y$ , one has

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X, D)] = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X, X - Y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(x, s - y) 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq 1\}} 1_{\{0 \leq y \leq 1\}} dx dy \quad (187)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{0 \leq x \leq 1\}} \left( - \int_x^{x-1} \varphi(x, z) dz \right) dx \quad (188)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 1_{\{\max(0, z) \leq x \leq \min(1, z+1)\}} \varphi(x, z) dx dz. \quad (189)$$

Hence the density of  $(X, D)$  is  $p_{(X,D)}(x, d) = 1_{\{\max(0, d) \leq x \leq \min(1, d+1)\}}$  and the marginal density of  $D$

$$p_D(d) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{\{\max(0, d) \leq x \leq \min(1, d+1)\}} dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty) \\ \int_0^{d+1} dx = d+1 & \text{if } d \in (-1, 0] \\ \int_d^1 dx = 1-d & \text{if } d \in (0, 1) \end{cases} \quad (190)$$

(ii) For  $d \in (-1, 0]$  (resp.  $d \in (0, 1)$ ) the conditional density  $\frac{p_{(X,D)}(x, d)}{p_D(d)}$  of  $X$  given  $D = d$  is equal to  $\frac{1_{\{0 \leq x \leq d+1\}}}{d+1}$  (resp.  $\frac{1_{\{d \leq x \leq 1\}}}{1-d}$ ) so that, conditionally on  $D = d$ ,  $X$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, d+1]$  (resp.  $[d, 1]$ ). Since  $\mathbb{P}(D \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty)) = 0$ , it is not meaningful to consider  $d \in (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, +\infty)$ .

(iii) The expectation of a random variable uniformly distributed on an interval being equal to the middle of the interval, we deduce that  $\mathbb{E}[X|D] = \frac{D+1}{2}$ .

(iv) One has, using that  $X$  and  $Y$  are i.i.d.,

$$\text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|D]) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{D+1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}(X+Y) = \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{2} \leq \text{Var}(X).$$

### Back to Exercise 17.8

#### Solution to Exercise 17.10

Let us find first the law of  $(X, Y)$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then using that  $Y$  is  $\sigma(Y)$ -measurable and the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$  is a Poisson distribution with parameter  $Y$  we get by Fubini's theorem

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A, Y \in B) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A(X) \mathbb{1}_B(Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_B(Y) \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A(X)|Y]] \quad (191)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_B(Y) \mathbb{P}^{X|Y}(A)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_B(Y) \mathbb{P}^{X|Y}(A)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_B(Y) e^{-Y} \sum_{x \in A} Y^x / (x!)\right] \quad (192)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_B(Y) e^{-Y} Y^x / (x!)] = \sum_{x \in A} \int_B (y^x / (x!)) e^{-2y} dy. \quad (193)$$

Therefore the law of  $(X, Y)$  has density with respect to  $\mu \otimes \lambda$

$$(x, y) \mapsto (y^x / (x!)) e^{-2y} , \quad (194)$$

where  $\mu$  is the counting measure on  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

If we take the marginal with respect to  $X$ , we get that the distribution of  $X$  has for density

$$x \mapsto 2^{-x-1} , \quad (195)$$

therefore  $X$  follows a geometric distribution with parameter  $1/2$ .

Finally, the conditional density of  $Y$  given  $X$  is given by for all  $y \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$2^{x+1} (y^x / (x!)) e^{-2y} . \quad (196)$$

We recognize the Gamma distribution with parameters  $x + 1$  and  $1/2$ . Besides the conditional expectation of  $Y$  given  $X$  is therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[Y | X] = (X + 1)/2 . \quad (197)$$

**Back to Exercise 17.10**