

**DAY 1. CLASSIFICATION. EMINES 2023.**

**EXERCISE 1 (EXPECTATION MAXIMIZATION ALGORITHM)** In the case where we are interested in estimating unknown parameters  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$  characterizing a model with missing data, the Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm (Dempster et al. 1977) can be used when the joint distribution of the missing data  $Y$  and the observed data  $X$  is explicit. For all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $p_\theta$  be the probability density function of  $(X, Y)$  when the model is parameterized by  $\theta$  with respect to a given reference measure  $\mu$ . The EM algorithm aims at computing iteratively an approximation of the maximum likelihood estimator which maximizes the observed data loglikelihood:

$$\ell(\theta; X) = \log f_\theta(X) = \log \int p_\theta(X, y) \mu(dy).$$

As this quantity cannot be computed explicitly in general cases, the EM algorithm finds the maximum likelihood estimator by iteratively maximizing the expected complete data loglikelihood. Start with an initial value  $\theta^{(0)}$  and let  $\theta^{(t)}$  be the estimate at the  $t$ -th iteration for  $t \geq 0$ , then the next iteration of EM is decomposed into two steps.

**E step.** Compute the expectation of the complete data loglikelihood, with respect to the conditional distribution of the missing data given the observed data parameterized by  $\theta^{(t)}$ :

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta^{(t)}} [\log p_\theta(X, Y) | X].$$

**M step** Determine  $\theta^{(t+1)}$  by maximizing the function  $Q$ :

$$\theta^{(t+1)} \in \operatorname{argmax}_\theta Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)}).$$

1. Prove the following crucial property, that motivates the EM algorithm. For all  $\theta, \theta^{(t)}$ ,

$$\ell(\theta, X) - \ell(\theta^{(t)}, X) \geq Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)}) - Q(\theta^{(t)}, \theta^{(t)}).$$

Therefore, we straightforwardly have that the EM algorithm produces a non decreasing sequence of loglikelihoods  $(\ell(X; \theta^{(t)}))_t$ .

**Mixture of Gaussians.** In the following,  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  where  $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  are i.i.d. in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{-1, 1\}$ . For  $k \in \{-1, 1\}$ , write  $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(Y_1 = k)$ . Assume that, conditionally on the event  $\{Y_1 = k\}$ ,  $X_1$  has a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . In this case, the parameter  $\theta = (\pi_1, \mu_1, \mu_{-1}, \Sigma)$  belongs to the set  $\Theta = [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ .

2. Write the complete data loglikelihood.
3. Let  $\theta^{(t)}$  be the current parameter estimate. Compute  $\theta \mapsto Q(\theta, \theta^{(t)})$  (tips: use  $\omega_t^i = \mathbb{P}_{\theta^{(t)}}(Y_i = 1 | X_i)$ )
4. Compute  $\theta^{(t+1)}$ .

**EXERCISE 2** Let  $M_n^+$  the space of real-valued  $n \times n$  symmetric positive matrices. We show

1. Show that the function  $X \mapsto \log \det X$  is concave on  $M_n^+$ .
2. The derivative of the real valued function  $\Sigma \mapsto \log \det(\Sigma)$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is given at a  $\Sigma$  which is symmetric positive by:

$$\partial_\Sigma \{\log \det(\Sigma)\} = \Sigma^{-1},$$

where, for all real valued function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,  $\partial_\Sigma f(\Sigma)$  denotes the  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  matrix such that for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,  $\{\partial_\Sigma f(\Sigma)\}_{i,j}$  is the partial derivative of  $f$  with respect to  $\Sigma_{i,j}$ .